**Female Constable Survey**

* The **PIIs have not been redacted**, i.e., the names and phone numbers of the officers are visible in the intermediate and clean datasets. This might be an IRB violation. We should redact PIIs and save the raw datasets containing that information in an encrypted folder.
* Instead of transforming variables into dummy variables (e.g., assigning a value of 1 if the respondent strongly agrees with a statement and 0 for all other Likert scale responses), I use the **swindex** command, that allows variable to enter as they are, as long as they all go in the same direction.
* By default, the program rescales the calculated index to the mean and standard deviation of the sample used for the standardization in the GLS weighting procedure (control group). This rescaling results in an "effect size" interpretation where the index is distributed mean zero with standard deviation one within the sample used. The **fullrescale** option allows the user to rescale the calculated index using the full sample, even if normby(control group) has been invoked for the GLS weighting procedure. Further, the user can opt not to rescale at all by specifying norescale. **Which option should we use here?**
* Instead of using the previous, less common method to generate “regular” index, I also computed indices following the approach by **Kling** et al., which normalizes variables by subtracting the mean of the control group and dividing by its standard deviation. The normalized variables are then combined by calculating the row-wise mean, with all variables equally weighted, to create the index.
* Given that we want to track an improvement, as a consequence of the intervention, I let most of the indices go in a **positive direction**.
* **Question 3103: mistake** in answer choice? Check SCTO: wrong also on SCTO.
* **Distribution of work at the police station. What is the objective here?** Is it to see if the training leads to female officers being involved in more relevant work/high stakes tasks/tasks that lead to improved reputation (not only GBV related)? Or is it about female police officers being more involved in GBV cases after the training?
* Why are we replacing -888 in q3402 to option 11 (Police training activity)?
* **Q3404, Q3405**: Are these two questions about senior male officers (treated) changing their own assignment? Or is it about senior male officers (treated) changing the assignment of their male/female subordinates?
* **Two separate indices for harassment** (one for reporting and one for experiencing it).
* Can you please check if the **level of tasks is correct**, i.e., do you think they have been categorized correctly in low stake task, medium stake task, high stake task?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| # | Activity | Level of task | Weight given |
| 1 | Investigation of crime against women or girls | High | 0.5 |
| 2 | Investigation of crime against men/boys | High | 0.5 |
| 3 | Arrest | High | 0.5 |
| 4 | Office work | Low | 0.2 |
| 5 | Outstation office work | Low | 0.2 |
| 6 | Law and Order (Including Patrolling duty) | Medium | 0.3 |
| 7 | Court work | High | 0.5 |
| 8 | Assisting male victims (men/boys) | Medium | 0.3 |
| 9 | Assisting victims (women/girls) (such as taking them to hospital) | Medium | 0.3 |
| 10 | Recording new case of crime against women/girls | High | 0.5 |
| 11 | Police training activity | Medium | 0.3 |
| 12 | Beat Duty | Low | 0.2 |
| 13 | Gram/ Nagar Raksha Samiti meeting | Low | 0.2 |
| 14 | Computer (e.g. CCTNS) | Low | 0.2 |
| 15 | VIP duty | High | 0.5 |
| 16 | Intelligence gathering | High | 0.5 |
| 17 | Follow up work on existing case of crime against women/girls | Medium | 0.3 |
| 18 | Community relations/outreach | Low | 0.2 |
| 19 | Traffic management | Low | 0.2 |
| 20 | Dial-112 emergency response | Medium | 0.3 |
| 21 | Counseling activity | Medium | 0.3 |
| 22 | Investigation related to Alcohol | Medium | 0.3 |
| -888 | Others Specify | Medium | 0.3 |

This is weird:

